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#### Abstract

Consider a nilpotent element $e$ of a simple complex Lie algebra. The Springer fibre corresponding to $e$ admits a discretization (discrete analogue) introduced by the author in 1999. In this paper we propose a conjectural description of that discretization which is more amenable to computation. We also propose a conjectural PBW basis of that discretization.
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## 0 Introduction

0.1. Let $G$ be an almost simple simply connected algebraic group over $\mathbf{C}$ with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $e \in \mathfrak{g}$ be a fixed nilpotent element and let $\mathcal{B}_{e}$ be the variety of Borel subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$ that contain $e$ (a Springer fibre). We fix a homomorphism of algebraic groups $\zeta: S L_{2}(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow G$ whose differential carries $\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$ to $e$. Let $F$ be the centralizer in $G$ of the image of $\zeta$ (a reductive group). Let $\bar{F}=F /\left(F^{0} \mathcal{Z}_{G}\right)$. (For any algebraic group $\mathcal{G}$ we denote by $\mathcal{G}^{0}$ the identity component of $\mathcal{G} ; \mathcal{Z}_{G}$ is the centre of $G$.) Following [4] we view $\mathcal{B}_{e}$ as a variety with $\mathbf{C}^{*}$-action given by $\lambda: \mathfrak{b} \mapsto \operatorname{Ad}\left(\zeta\left(\begin{array}{cc}\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1}\end{array}\right)\right) \mathfrak{b}$.

Let $W$ be the (extended) affine Weyl group corresponding to the dual of $G$. Let $c$ be the two-sided cell of $W$ associated to the $G$-conjugacy class of $u=\exp (e) \in G$ in [6, 4.8]. In this paper we consider the following four sets associated to $e$.
(a) The subset $\underline{\mathbf{B}}_{\mathcal{B}_{e}}^{ \pm}$of $K_{\mathbf{C}^{*}}\left(\mathcal{B}_{e}\right)$ (the $K$-group of $\mathbf{C}^{*}$-equivariant coherent sheaves on $\mathcal{B}_{e}$ ) introduced in [8, 5.15].
(b) The set $R(c)$ of right cells of $W$ that are contained in $c$.
(c) The set $\Xi_{e}$ of connected components of the fixed point set $\mathcal{B}_{e}^{\mathbf{C}^{*}}$ of the $\mathbf{C}^{*}$-action on $\mathcal{B}_{e}$.
(d) The set $\bar{\Xi}_{e}$ of orbits of the $\bar{F}$-action on $\Xi_{e}$ induced by the conjugation action of $F$ on $\mathcal{B}_{e}^{\mathbf{C}^{*}}$.
In the rest of this paper $\underline{B}_{\mathcal{B}_{e}}^{ \pm}$is renamed as $\mathbf{B}_{e}^{ \pm}$. Note that in [8] it is conjectured (and in [1] it is proved) that
(e) $\mathbf{B}_{e}^{ \pm}$is a signed basis of the $K_{\mathbf{C}^{*}}($ point $)$-module $K_{\mathbf{C}^{*}}\left(\mathcal{B}_{e}\right)$.

One of the themes of this paper is a conjectural diagram involving the sets (a)-(d).


Here $\mathbf{B}_{e}$ is the set of orbits of multiplication by $\{1,-1\}$ on $\mathbf{B}_{e}^{ \pm}$;
(f) $\rho$ is the (conjectural) map in $[8,17.1$ (c)] which identifies $R(c)$ with the set of $\bar{F}$-orbits on $\mathbf{B}_{e}$ (for the action of $\bar{F}$ on $\mathbf{B}_{e}$ induced by the conjugation action of $F$ on $\mathcal{B}_{e}$ );
$\sigma$ is a (conjectural) surjective map (compatible with the actions of $\bar{F}$ ) discussed in Section $1 ; \rho^{\prime}$ is the obvious orbit map; $\sigma^{\prime}$ is the unique (surjective) map which makes the diagram commutative.

In this paper we introduce a new (conjectural) signed basis $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{e}^{ \pm}$of (a localization of) $K_{\mathbf{C}^{*}}\left(\mathcal{B}_{e}\right)$ which is in natural bijection with $\mathbf{B}_{e}^{ \pm}$and is such that $\mathbf{B}_{e}^{ \pm}$can be reconstructed from the knowledge of $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{e}^{ \pm}$and from the bar-involution of $K_{\mathbf{C}^{*}}\left(\mathcal{B}_{e}\right)$ in a way similar (but more intricate) to the way the canonical basis of the + part of a quantum group can be reconstructed from a PBW basis of that $+\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{e}^{ \pm}$part. Thus we can think of $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{e}^{ \pm}$as being something like a PBW (signed) basis. The set $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{e}^{ \pm}$is naturally partitioned into subsets indexed by $\Xi_{e}$ in (c); this can be viewed as a surjective map $\mathbf{B}_{e}^{ \pm} \rightarrow \Xi_{e}$ which factors through a surjective map $\mathbf{B}_{e} \xrightarrow{\sigma} \Xi_{e}$ appearing in the diagram above.
0.2. The set $\mathbf{B}_{e}$ is a discretization (or discrete analogue) of $\mathcal{B}_{e}$ in the sense that it is a finite set with a number of elements equal to the sum of Betti numbers (or equivalently the sum of Betti numbers in even degrees) of $\mathcal{B}_{e}$. (This follows from 0.1(e).)
0.3. The set $\mathbf{B}_{e}$ indexes the simple objects in a certain block of unrestricted representations of the analogue of $\mathfrak{g}$ over a field of positive, large characteristic (this has been conjectured in $[7, \S 14]$ and proved in [1]).
0.4. In section 2 we state some conjectures which, if true, would describe completely the finite set $\mathbf{B}_{e}$ with action of $\bar{F}$ (that is, they describes which isotropy groups appear and how many points have isotropy groups in a fixed conjugacy class).

## 1 The maps $\mathbf{B}_{e} \rightarrow \Xi_{e}, R(c) \rightarrow \bar{\Xi}_{e}$

1.1. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the variety of Borel subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$. We have $\mathcal{B}_{e}=\{\mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{B} ; e \in \mathfrak{b}\}$. As in 0.1 we consider $K_{\mathbf{C}^{*}}\left(\mathcal{B}_{e}\right)$, the $K$-theory of $\mathbf{C}^{*}$-equivariant coherent sheaves on $\mathcal{B}_{e}$; we denote it by $K_{e}$. We regard $K_{e}$ as a module over $\mathcal{A}:=\mathbf{Z}\left[v, v^{-1}\right]$ (the representation ring of $\left.\mathbf{C}^{*}\right)$ in the usual way. Here $v$ is an indeterminate representing the identity homomorphism $\mathbf{C}^{*} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{*}$.

In $[8,5.15]$ we have defined an involution $\tilde{\beta}: K_{e} \rightarrow K_{e}$, a symmetric $\mathcal{A}$-bilinear pairing $(\|): K_{e} \times K_{e} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and the subset

$$
\underline{\mathbf{B}}_{\mathcal{B}_{e}}^{ \pm}=\left\{\xi \in K_{e} ; \tilde{\beta}(\xi)=\xi,(\xi \| \xi) \in 1+v^{-1} \mathbf{Z}\left[v^{-1}\right]\right\}
$$

of $K_{e}-\{0\}$ (now denoted by $\mathbf{B}_{e}^{ \pm}$). We will also write ${ }^{-}$instead of $\tilde{\beta}$.
1.2. Let ' $\mathcal{A}$ be the subring of $\mathbf{Q}(v)$ consisting of quotients $f / g$ where $g \in \mathbf{Z}[v]$ has constant term 1 and $f \in \mathcal{A}$; let " $\mathcal{A}$ be the subring of $\mathbf{Q}(v)$ consisting of quotients $f / g$ where $g \in \mathbf{Z}[v]$ has constant term 1 and $f \in \mathbf{Z}[v]$. We have $\mathcal{A} \subset{ }^{\prime} \mathcal{A},{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathcal{A} \subset{ }^{\prime} \mathcal{A}$.

For any $m \in \mathbf{Z}$ let

$$
\mathfrak{g}_{m}=\left\{x \in \mathfrak{g} ; \operatorname{Ad}\left(\zeta\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\lambda & 0 \\
0 & \lambda^{-1}
\end{array}\right)\right) x=\lambda^{m} x \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbf{C}^{*}\right\}
$$

Then $\mathfrak{p}:=\sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{g}_{m}$ is the Lie algebra of a parabolic subgroup $P$ of $G$ containing $F$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the (finite) set of orbits of $P$ on $\mathcal{B}$ (for the conjugation action). Let $\mathcal{M}_{e}=\{\omega \in$ $\left.\mathcal{M} ; \mathcal{B}_{e} \cap \omega \neq \emptyset\right\}$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{e}$ be the set of all subvarieties $X \subset \mathcal{B}_{e}$ such that $X$ is a connected component of $\mathcal{B}_{e} \cap \omega$ for some $\omega \in \mathcal{M}_{e}$.

If $X \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{e}$ is a connected component of $\mathcal{B}_{e} \cap \omega$ with $\omega \in \mathcal{M}_{e}$, we set $\mathcal{B}_{e}^{<X}=\cup_{\omega^{\prime}}\left(\mathcal{B}_{e} \cap \omega^{\prime}\right)$ where $\omega^{\prime} \in \mathcal{M}_{e}$ is subject to $\omega^{\prime} \subset \bar{\omega}$ (closure in $\mathcal{B}$ ) and $\omega^{\prime} \neq \omega$; we set $\mathcal{B}_{e}^{\leq X}=X \cup \mathcal{B}_{e}^{<X}$.

By arguments in [8, Section 1] (based on results in [3]) we see that the $\mathcal{A}$-linear maps $K_{\mathbf{C}^{*}}\left(\mathcal{B}_{e}^{<X}\right) \rightarrow K_{e}, K_{\mathbf{C}^{*}}\left(\mathcal{B}_{e}^{\leq X}\right) \rightarrow K_{e}$ induced by the closed imbedding $\mathcal{B}_{e}^{<X} \subset \mathcal{B}_{e}$, $\mathcal{B}_{e}^{\leq X} \subset \mathcal{B}_{e}$, are injective; hence the ${ }^{\prime} \mathcal{A}$-linear maps ${ }^{\prime} \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} K_{\mathbf{C}^{*}}\left(\mathcal{B}_{e}{ }^{<X}\right) \rightarrow{ }^{\prime} \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} K_{e}$, ${ }^{\prime} \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} K_{\mathbf{C}^{*}}\left(\mathcal{B}_{e}^{\leq X}\right) \rightarrow{ }^{\prime} \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} K_{e}$ obtained by extension of scalars are injective. Hence ${ }^{\prime} \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} K_{\mathbf{C}^{*}}\left(\mathcal{B}_{e}^{<X}\right)$ and ${ }^{\prime} \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} K_{\mathbf{C}^{*}}\left(\mathcal{B}_{e}^{\leq X}\right)$ can be identified with their image ${ }^{\prime} K_{e}^{<X}$ and ${ }^{\prime} K_{e}^{\leq X}$ in ${ }^{\prime} K_{e}:={ }^{\prime} \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} K_{e}$.) The same arguments show that we have an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow K_{\mathbf{C}^{*}}\left(\mathcal{B}_{e}^{<X}\right) \rightarrow K_{\mathbf{C}^{*}}\left(\mathcal{B}_{e}^{\leq X}\right) \rightarrow K_{\mathbf{C}^{*}}(X) \rightarrow 0
$$

associated to the inclusions $\mathcal{B}_{e}^{<X} \subset \mathcal{B}_{e}^{\leq X}, X \subset \mathcal{B}_{e}^{\leq X}$; from this we deduce an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow{ }^{\prime} K_{e}^{<X} \rightarrow{ }^{\prime} K_{e}^{\leq X} \xrightarrow{t}{ }^{\prime} K_{\mathbf{C}^{*}}(X)$ where ${ }^{\prime} K_{\mathbf{C}^{*}}(X)={ }^{\prime} \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} K_{\mathbf{C}^{*}}(X)$.

We have naturally $K_{e} \subset{ }^{\prime} K_{e}$.
Now (\|) : $K_{e} \times K_{e} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ extends to a symmetric ${ }^{\prime} \mathcal{A}$-bilinear pairing ${ }^{\prime} K_{e} \times{ }^{\prime} K_{e} \rightarrow{ }^{\prime} \mathcal{A}$. For any $X \in \mathcal{M}_{e}$ let ' $K_{e}^{X}$ be the set of all $\xi \in{ }^{\prime} K_{e}^{\leq X}$ such that $\left(\xi \| \xi^{\prime}\right)=0$ for any $\xi^{\prime} \in{ }^{\prime} K_{e}^{<X}$. Restricting $t:{ }^{\prime} K_{e}^{\leq X} \xrightarrow{t}{ }^{\prime} K_{\mathbf{C}^{*}}(X)$ to ${ }^{\prime} K_{e}^{X}$ we obtain a map
(a) ${ }^{\prime} K_{e}^{X} \rightarrow^{\prime} K_{\mathbf{C}^{*}}(X)$.

Let " $K_{e}$ be the ${ }^{\prime \prime} \mathcal{A}$-submodule of ' $K_{e}$ generated by $\mathbf{B}_{e}^{ \pm}$.
1.3. We now state some conjectural properties of the submodules ' $K_{e}^{X}$ of ' $K_{e}$.
(i) We have a direct sum decomposition ${ }^{\prime} K_{e}=\oplus_{X \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{e}}{ }^{\prime} K_{e}^{X}$. Hence for $b \in \mathbf{B}_{e}^{ \pm}$ we can write uniquely $b=\sum_{X \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{e}} b^{X}$ where $b^{X} \in{ }^{\prime} K_{e}^{X}$. Moreover, the maps $1.2(\mathrm{a})$ are isomorphisms, hence they convert the direct sum decomposition above into ${ }^{\prime} K_{e}=$ $\oplus_{X \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{e}}{ }^{\prime} K_{\mathbf{C}^{*}}(X)$.
(ii) Let $b \in \mathbf{B}_{e}^{ \pm}$. There is a unique $X_{b} \in \mathcal{M}_{e}$ such that $b^{X} \in v\left({ }^{\prime \prime} K_{e}\right)$ for all $X \in$ $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{e}-\left\{X_{b}\right\}$ and $b^{X_{b}}-b \in v\left({ }^{\prime \prime} K_{e}\right)$ (so that $b^{X_{b}} \notin v\left({ }^{\prime \prime} K_{e}\right)$ ). The map $\mathbf{B}_{e}^{ \pm} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{e}, b \mapsto X_{b}$ is surjective.
In this and the next subsection (but not in other subsections) we identify $\mathbf{B}_{e}$ with a subset $\tilde{\sim}_{b} \mathbf{B}_{e}^{ \pm}$by choosing one element in each orbit of multiplication by $\{1,-1\}$ on $\mathbf{B}_{\epsilon}^{ \pm}$. Setting $\tilde{b}=b^{X_{b}}$ for any $b \in \mathbf{B}_{e}$ we have $\tilde{b}=\sum_{b^{\prime} \in \mathbf{B}_{e}} c_{b, b^{\prime}} b^{\prime}$ where $c_{b, b^{\prime}} \in{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathcal{A}$ satisfy $c_{b, b} \in 1+v\left({ }^{\prime \prime} \mathcal{A}\right)$, $c_{b, b^{\prime}} \in v\left({ }^{\prime \prime} \mathcal{A}\right)$ for $b \neq b^{\prime}$. It follows that the square matrix ( $c_{b, b^{\prime}}$ ) indexed by $\mathbf{B}_{e} \times \mathbf{B}_{e}$ has determinant in $1+v\left({ }^{\prime \prime} \mathcal{A}\right)$ hence is invertible in ${ }^{\prime \prime} \mathcal{A}$. Since $\left\{b ; b \in \mathbf{B}_{e}\right\}$ is an ${ }^{\prime \prime} \mathcal{A}$-basis of ${ }^{\prime \prime} K_{e}$, it follows that
(a) $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{e}:=\left\{\tilde{b} ; b \in \mathbf{B}_{e}\right\}$ is again an " $\mathcal{A}$-basis of ${ }^{\prime \prime} K_{e}$.
1.4. We show that the $\mathcal{A}$-basis $\mathbf{B}_{e}$ can be reconstructed from the ${ }^{\prime} \mathcal{A}$-basis $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{e}$ of ${ }^{\prime} K_{e}$ (assuming 1.3(i),(ii)).

We shall indicate a number of steps which start with $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{e}$ and end with $\mathbf{B}_{e}$ (the definition of these steps does not involve $\mathbf{B}_{e}$, but the verification of their correctness does).

Step 1. We note that ${ }^{\prime \prime} K_{e}$ is defined purely in terms of $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{e}$ (it is the " $\mathcal{A}$-submodule of ${ }^{\prime} K_{e}$ generated by $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{e}$ ).

Step 2. We set ${ }^{+} K_{e}=K_{e} \cap^{\prime \prime} K_{e}$.
Step 3. Let ${ }^{-} K_{e}$ be the image of ${ }^{+} K_{e}$ under $^{-}: K_{e} \rightarrow K_{e}$.
Step 4. We form ${ }^{+} K_{e} \cap^{-} K_{e}$.
Step 5. We have a map ${ }^{+} K_{e} \cap^{-} K_{e} \xrightarrow{\iota}{ }^{+} K_{e} / v^{+} K_{e}$ (restriction of the obvious map $\left.{ }^{+} K_{e} \rightarrow{ }^{+} K_{e} / v^{+} K_{e}\right)$.

Step 6. We have a map ${ }^{+} K_{e} / v^{+} K_{e} \xrightarrow{\iota^{\prime}}{ }^{\prime \prime} K_{e} / v^{\prime \prime} K_{e}$ induced by the obvious inclusion ${ }^{+} K_{e} \subset{ }^{\prime \prime} K_{e}$.

Step 7. For any $\mathfrak{b} \in \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{e}$ there is a unique element $\tau(\mathfrak{b}) \in{ }^{+} K_{e} \cap^{-} K_{e}$ such that $\iota^{\prime} \iota(\tau(\mathfrak{b}))$ is the image of $\mathfrak{b}$ in " $K_{e} / v^{\prime \prime} K_{e}$.

Step 8. The elements $\left\{t(\mathfrak{b}) ; \mathfrak{b} \in \tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{e}\right\}$ form a Z-basis of ${ }^{+} K_{e} \cap^{-} K_{e}$ and an $\mathcal{A}$-basis of $K_{e}$. This is $\mathbf{B}_{e}$.
We now justify Step 7. Note that ${ }^{+} K_{e}$ is the set of all $\sum_{b \in \mathbf{B}_{e}} c_{b} b$ where for any $b$ we have $c_{b} \in \mathcal{A} \cap{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathcal{A}$ or equivalently $c_{b} \in \mathbf{Z}[v]$. (If $a \in \mathbf{Z}\left[v, v^{-1}\right]$ is of the form $f / g$ where $g \in \mathbf{Z}[v]$ has constant term 1 and $f \in \mathbf{Z}[v]$, then $a \in \mathbf{Z}[v]$. Indeed, we have $a=\sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} a_{i} v^{i}$ where $a_{i} \in \mathbf{Z}$ satisfies $a_{i}=0$ for $i \gg 0$ and for $i \ll 0$, since $a \in \mathcal{A}$, and $a_{i}=0$ for $i<0$, since $a \in{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathcal{A}$.) It follows that ${ }^{-} K_{e}$ is the set of all $\sum_{b \in \mathbf{B}_{e}} c_{b} b$ where for any $b$ we have $c_{b} \in \mathbf{Z}\left[v^{-1}\right]$. Hence ${ }^{+} K_{e} \cap^{-} K_{e}$ is the set of all $\sum_{b \in \mathbf{B}_{e}} c_{b} b$ where for any $b$ we have $c_{b} \in \mathbf{Z}$. The map $\iota^{\prime}$ in Step 6 is an isomorphism. (We use that the map $\mathbf{Z}[v] / v \mathbf{Z}[v] \rightarrow{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathcal{A} / v\left({ }^{\prime \prime} \mathcal{A}\right)$ induced by the inclusion $\mathbf{Z}[v] \rightarrow{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathcal{A}$ is an isomorphism.) Moreover the map $\iota$ in Step 5 is an isomorphism. Now Step 7 holds in view of Steps 5 and 6. We now justify Step 8. Under the isomorphism $\iota^{\prime} \iota$, the $\mathbf{Z}$-basis $\mathbf{B}_{e}$ of ${ }^{+} K_{e} \cap^{-} K_{e}$ corresponds to the $\mathbf{Z}$-basis of ${ }^{\prime \prime} K_{e} / v\left({ }^{\prime \prime} K_{e}\right)$ formed by the image of $\mathbf{B}_{e}$ or equivalently by the image of $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{e}$. This justifies Step 8.

We note that we can reconstruct $\mathbf{B}_{e}$ from slightly less than the knowledge of $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{e}$ : it is enough to have " $K_{e}$ and the image of $\tilde{\mathbf{B}}_{e}$ under ${ }^{\prime \prime} K_{e} \rightarrow{ }^{\prime \prime} K_{e} / v\left({ }^{\prime \prime} K_{e}\right)$.
1.5. In this subsection we assume that $G$ is of type $A_{2}$ and $e \in \mathfrak{g}$ is subregular nilpotent. Using [10, Sec.5], we see that $\mathbf{B}_{e}^{ \pm}$consists of $\pm$three elements $b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}$ satisfying $\left(b_{i} \| b_{i}\right)=$ $1+v^{-2}$ and $\left(b_{i} \| b_{j}\right)=-v^{-1}$ if $i \neq j$. The set $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{e}$ has three elements which can be denoted by $X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}$ so that ' $K_{e}^{X_{3}}={ }^{\prime} K_{e}^{\leq X_{3}}$ has basis $\left\{b_{3}+v b_{1}+v b_{2}\right\},{ }^{\prime} K_{e}^{\leq X_{i}}$ has basis $\left\{b_{3}+v b_{1}+v b_{2}, b_{i}\right\}$ for $i=1,2$. It follows that for $i=1,2,{ }^{\prime} K_{e}^{X_{i}}$ has basis $\left\{b_{i}-\delta^{-1}\left(v^{3}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.v^{2}\right)\left(b_{3}+v b_{1}+v b_{2}\right)\right\}$ where $\delta=1-v^{2}-2 v^{3}+2 v^{4}$.

We have

$$
b_{i}=\delta^{-1}\left(v^{3}-v^{2}\right)\left(b_{3}+v b_{1}+v b_{2}\right)+\left(b_{i}-\delta^{-1}\left(v^{3}-v^{2}\right)\left(b_{3}+v b_{1}+v b_{2}\right)\right)
$$

for $i=1,2$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{3}= & \delta^{-1}\left(1-v^{2}\right)\left(b_{3}+v b_{1}+v b_{2}\right)-v\left(b_{1}-\delta^{-1}\left(v^{3}-v^{2}\right)\left(b_{3}+v b_{1}+v b_{2}\right)\right) \\
& -v\left(b_{2}-\delta^{-1}\left(v^{3}-v^{2}\right)\left(b_{3}+v b_{1}+v b_{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tilde{b}_{i}=b_{i}-\delta^{-1}\left(v^{3}-v^{2}\right)\left(b_{3}+v b_{1}+v b_{2}\right) \text { for } i=1,2, \\
\tilde{b}_{3}=\delta^{-1}\left(1-v^{2}\right)\left(b_{3}+v b_{1}+v b_{2}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

The map $\mathbf{B}_{e}^{ \pm} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{e}$ is $\pm b_{i} \mapsto X_{i}$ for $i=1,2,3$. We see that 1.3(i),(ii) hold in this case.
1.6. In this subsection we assume that $G$ is of type $D_{4}$ or $G_{2}$ and $e \in \mathfrak{g}$ is subregular nilpotent. Using [9], [10], we see that $\mathbf{B}_{e}^{ \pm}$consists of $\pm$five elements $b_{0}, b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, b_{4}$ satisfying $\left(b_{i} \| b_{i}\right)=1+v^{-2}$ for $i=0,1,2,3,4,\left(b_{i} \| b_{j}\right)=0$ if $i \neq j$ in $1,2,3,4,\left(b_{0} \| b_{i}\right)=-v^{-1}$ for $i=1,2,3,4$. The set $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{e}$ has four elements which can be denoted by $X_{0}, X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}$ so that ${ }^{\prime} K_{e}^{X_{0}}={ }^{\prime} K_{e}^{\leq X_{0}}$ has basis $\left\{b_{0}, b_{4}+v^{2}\left(b_{1}+b_{2}+b_{3}\right)\right\} .{ }^{\prime} K_{e}^{\leq X_{i}}$ has basis $\left\{b_{4}+v^{2}\left(b_{1}+b_{2}+\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.b_{3}\right), b_{0}, b_{i}\right\}$ for $i=1,2,3$. It follows that for $i=1,2,3,{ }^{\prime} K_{e}^{X_{i}}$ has basis

$$
\left\{b_{i}+\left(v+v^{3}\right) \epsilon^{-1} b_{0}+v^{4} \epsilon^{-1}\left(b_{4}+v^{2}\left(b_{1}+b_{2}+b_{3}\right)\right)\right\}
$$

where $\epsilon=1+2 v^{2}-3 v^{6}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{4}= & \epsilon^{-1}\left(1+2 v^{2}\right)\left(b_{4}+v^{2}\left(b_{1}+b_{2}+b_{3}\right)\right)+3 \epsilon^{-1}\left(v^{3}+v^{5}\right) b_{0} \\
& -\sum_{i \in\{1,2,3\}} v^{2} \epsilon^{-1}\left(v^{4}\left(b_{4}+v^{2}\left(b_{1}+b_{2}+b_{3}\right)\right)+\left(v+v^{3}\right) b_{0}+\epsilon b_{i}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{i}= & -\epsilon^{-1} v^{4}\left(b_{4}+v^{2}\left(b_{1}+b_{2}+b_{3}\right)\right)-\epsilon^{-1}\left(v+v^{3}\right) b_{0} \\
& +\epsilon^{-1}\left(v^{4}\left(b_{4}+v^{2}\left(b_{1}+b_{2}+b_{3}\right)\right)+\left(v+v^{3}\right) b_{0}+\epsilon b_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $i=1,2,3$. Hence

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tilde{b}_{0}=b_{0} \\
\tilde{b}_{4}=\epsilon^{-1}\left(1+2 v^{2}\right)\left(b_{4}+v^{2}\left(b_{1}+b_{2}+b_{3}\right)\right)+3 \epsilon^{-1}\left(v^{3}+v^{5}\right) b_{0} \\
\tilde{b}_{i}=\epsilon^{-1}\left(v^{4}\left(b_{4}+v^{2}\left(b_{1}+b_{2}+b_{3}\right)\right)+\left(v+v^{3}\right) b_{0}+\epsilon b_{i}\right) \text { for } i=1,2,3
\end{gathered}
$$

The map $\mathbf{B}_{e}^{ \pm} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{e}$ is $\pm b_{i} \mapsto X_{i}$ for $i=0,1,2,3$ and $\pm b_{4} \mapsto X_{0}$. We see that $1.3(\mathrm{i}),(\mathrm{ii})$ hold in this case.
1.7. If $\omega \in \mathcal{M}_{e}$, then $F$ acts on $\mathcal{B}_{e} \cap \omega$ by conjugation. This induces an action of $\bar{F}$ on the set of connected components of $\mathcal{B}_{\tilde{\sim}} \cap \omega$. By [3], this action of $\bar{F}$ is transitive. Thus, $\bar{F}$ acts naturally on $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{e}$ and the map $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{e} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{e}$ (with $X \mapsto \omega$ when $X \subset \mathcal{B}_{e} \cap \omega$ ) has fibres given precisely by the $\bar{F}$-orbits on $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{e}$.

By [3], if $X \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{e}$, then $X^{\mathbf{C}^{*}}=X \cap \mathcal{B}_{e}^{\mathbf{C}^{*}}$ is a connected component $\mathcal{B}_{e}^{\mathbf{C}^{*}}$ that is an element of $\Xi_{e}$; moreover, $X \mapsto X^{\mathbf{C}^{*}}$ is a bijection $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{e} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Xi_{e}$. Thus we may identify $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{e}$ with $\Xi_{e}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{e}$ with $\bar{\Xi}_{e}$ (see 0.1).

Using the identification $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{e}=\Xi_{e}$, the map $\mathbf{B}_{e}^{ \pm} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{e}$ in 1.3(ii) can be identified with a map $\mathbf{B}_{e}^{ \pm} \rightarrow \Xi_{e}$, which factors through a (surjective) map $\sigma: \mathbf{B}_{e} \rightarrow \Xi_{e}$. Thus all maps in the diagram in 0.1 are defined.
1.8. One can define a (non-conjectural) direct sum decomposition $\mathbf{Q}(v) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} K_{e}=$ $\oplus_{X \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{e}} K(X)$ into $\mathbf{Q}(v)$-vector subspaces $K(X)$ indexed by $X \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{e}$ by noting that by a known localization property we have $\mathbf{Q}(v) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} K_{e}=\mathbf{Q}(v) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} K_{\mathbf{C}^{*}}\left(\mathcal{B}_{e}^{\mathbf{C}^{*}}\right)$ and then using the direct sum decomposition of the last vector space coming from the decomposition of $\mathcal{B}_{e}^{\mathbf{C}^{*}}$ into connected components (which are indexed by $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{e}$ ). One can project any $b \in \mathbf{B}_{e}^{ \pm}$to the summands in this decomposition and one can ask whether these projections behave as in 1.3(ii). It appears that this is not the case.

## $2 \quad \mathrm{~B}_{e}$ and the Burnside group of $\bar{F}$

2.1. Let $H$ be a finite group. Let $\Omega(H)$ be the Burnside group of $H$ that is, the free abelian group with generators the various conjugacy classes of subgroups of $H$. To any finite set $X$ with an $H$-action (or $H$-set) we can associate an element $(X) \in \Omega(H)$ by the requirement that $\left(X \sqcup X^{\prime}\right)=(X)+\left(X^{\prime}\right)$ for two finite $H$-sets and $\left(H / H^{\prime}\right)=H^{\prime}$ for any subgroup $H^{\prime}$ of $H$ where $H / H^{\prime}$ is an $H$-set under left translation.

Let $M(H)$ be the set of all pairs $(s, \rho)$ where $s \in H$ and $\rho$ is an irreducible representation over $\mathbf{C}$ (up to isomorphism) of the centralizer $Z_{H}(s)$ of $s$ in $H$; the pairs $(s, \rho)$ are taken modulo $H$-conjugacy. Let $\mathbf{C}[M(H)]$ be the $\mathbf{C}$-vector space with basis $M(H)$.

Now let $X$ be a finite $H$-set. For any $(s, \rho) \in M(H)$ the fixed point set $X^{s}$ has an action of $Z_{H}(s)$ (restriction of the $H$-action on $X$ ) hence we can consider the multiplicity $N_{s, \rho}$ of $\rho$ in the permutation representation of $Z_{H}(s)$ on $X^{s}$. We set $[X]=\sum_{(s, \rho) \in M(H)} N_{s, \rho}(s, \rho) \in$ $\mathbf{C}[M(H)]$. Now $(X) \mapsto[X]$ for any finite $H$-set defines a homomorphism
(a) $\Omega(H) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}[M(H)]$.
2.2. We choose a Borel subgroup $B$ of $F^{0}$ and a maximal torus $T$ of $B$. Let $F^{\prime}=\{g \in$ $\left.F ; g B g^{-1}=B, g T g^{-1}=T\right\}$. Then $F^{\prime 0}=T$ and the obvious map $F^{\prime} / T \mathcal{Z}_{G} \rightarrow F / F^{0} \mathcal{Z}_{G}=\bar{F}$ is an isomorphism. Let $\mathcal{B}_{e}^{T}=\left\{\mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{B}_{e} ; \operatorname{Ad}(t) \mathfrak{b}=\mathfrak{b}\right.$ for all $\left.t \in T\right\}$. Now $F^{\prime}$ acts on $\mathcal{B}_{e}^{T}$ by $g: \mathfrak{b} \mapsto \operatorname{Ad}(g) \mathfrak{b}$. This action is trivial on $T \mathcal{Z}_{G}$ hence it induces an action of $F^{\prime} / T \mathcal{Z}_{G}=\bar{F}$ on $\mathcal{B}_{e}^{T}$.

Let $s \in \bar{F}$. Let $\mathcal{B}_{e}^{T, s}$ be the fixed point set of the action of $s$ on $\mathcal{B}_{e}^{T}$. Note that $Z_{\bar{F}}(s)$ acts on $\mathcal{B}_{e}^{T, s}$ as the restriction of the $\bar{F}$-action on $\mathcal{B}_{e}^{T}$. Hence for any $i$ there is an induced action of $Z_{\bar{F}}(s)$ on $H^{i}\left(\mathcal{B}_{e}^{T, s}, \mathbf{C}\right)$. We define an element $\phi_{e} \in \mathbf{C}[M(\bar{F})]$ in which the coefficient of $(s, \rho) \in M(\bar{F})$ is:
(a) $\sum_{i}(-1)^{i}\left(\right.$ multiplicity of $\rho$ in the $Z_{\bar{F}}(s)$-module $\left.H^{i}\left(\mathcal{B}_{e}^{T, s}, \mathbf{C}\right)\right)$.

The following is a strengthening of the statement 0.2 that $\mathbf{B}_{e}$ is a discretization of $\mathcal{B}_{e}$.
Conjecture 2.3. We have $\left[\mathbf{B}_{e}\right]=\phi_{e} \in \mathbf{C}[M(\bar{F})]$.
2.4. Let $W^{\prime}$ be the affine Weyl group corresponding to the dual of the adjoint group of $G$. We have $W^{\prime} \subset W$. We can find a finite parabolic subgroup $W^{\prime \prime}$ of $W^{\prime}$ and a two-sided cell $c^{\prime \prime}$ of $W^{\prime \prime}$ such that $c^{\prime \prime} \subset c$ (see $[6,4.8(\mathrm{~d})]$ ); moreover, by [11, $\left.1.5(\mathrm{~b} 2)\right]$, we can assume that the finite group $\mathcal{G}_{c^{\prime \prime}}$ associated to $c^{\prime \prime}$ in $[5,3.5]$ coincides with $\bar{F}$. Let $\mathcal{F}_{e}$ be the set of subgroups of $\bar{F}=\mathcal{G}_{c^{\prime \prime}}$ attached in [5, 3.8] to the various left cells of $W^{\prime \prime}$ contained in $c^{\prime \prime}$ (or rather one such subgroup in each $\bar{F}=\mathcal{G}_{c^{\prime \prime}}$-conjugacy class). From [12] we see that:
(a) The elements $[\bar{F} / H] \in \mathbf{C}[M(\bar{F})]$ for various $H \in \mathcal{F}_{e}$ are linearly independent. For $b \in \mathbf{B}_{e}$ let $\bar{F}_{b} \subset \bar{F}$ be the stabilizer of $b$ for the $\bar{F}$-action on $\mathbf{B}_{e}$.

Conjecture 2.5. $\mathcal{F}_{e}$ (see 2.4) is a set of representatives for the $\bar{F}$-conjugacy classes of subgroups of $\bar{F}$ of the form $\bar{F}_{b}$ for some $b \in \mathbf{B}_{e}$.
2.6. Assuming that 2.3 and 2.5 hold, we see that the element $\left(\mathbf{B}_{e}\right)$ of the Burnside group $\Omega(\bar{F})$ is explicitly determined. Indeed, the element $\phi_{e} \in \mathbf{C}[M(\bar{F})]$ can be explicitly computed from the knowledge of Green functions for $G$ and its subgroups. Using 2.3 we see that $\left[\mathbf{B}_{e}\right] \in \mathbf{C}[M(\bar{F})]$ is explicitly determined. Using 2.5 we see that $\left(\mathbf{B}_{e}\right)$ is determined
by $\left[\mathbf{B}_{e}\right]$ hence is also explicitly determined.
2.7. Assuming 2.5 and that $\rho$ is as in $0.1(\mathrm{f})$ we see that to any $\Gamma \in R(c)$ one can attach a subgroup $H_{\Gamma} \in \mathcal{F}_{e}$ characterized by the condition that $H_{\Gamma}$ is conjugate to $\bar{F}_{b}$ for some/any $b \in \rho^{-1}(\Gamma)$. We note that the subgroups $H_{\Gamma} \subset \bar{F}$ associated to the various $\Gamma \in R(c)$ can be regarded as affine analogues of the finite groups associated in [5] to the right cells (or left cells) inside a two-sided cell of a finite Weyl group.
2.8. For $\xi \in \Xi_{e}$ we denote by $\bar{F}_{\xi}$ the stabilizer of $\xi$ in the $\bar{F}$-action on $\Xi_{e}$. Assuming 2.5 and the truth of the conjectures in 1.3 , we note that the map $\sigma: \mathbf{B}_{e} \rightarrow \Xi_{e}$ in 1.7 is $\bar{F}$-equivariant. Hence if $b \in \mathbf{B}_{e}$ then
(a) $\bar{F}_{b} \subset \bar{F}_{\sigma(b)}$.

This seems to be an equality in many (but not all) cases. Assume for example that $G$ is of type $E_{8}$ and $e$ is such that $\bar{F}=S_{5}$. In this case the subgroups $\left\{\bar{F}_{\xi} ; \xi \in \Xi_{e}\right\}$ of $\bar{F}$ are exactly the conjugates of the subgroups in $\mathcal{F}_{e}$ (a result of [3]); we expect that in this case (a) is an equality.

Assume now that $G$ is of type $E_{8}$ and $e$ is of type $E_{8}\left(b_{6}\right)$ (notation as in [2, p. 407]). In this case we have $\bar{F}=S_{3}$ and for $\xi \in \Xi_{e}, \bar{F}_{\xi}$ is one of the subgroups $S_{2}, S_{3}$ or a cyclic group of order 3 of $S_{3}$ (this can be deduced from [3, 4.1]); if in (a), $\bar{F}_{\sigma(b)}$ is cyclic of order 3 , we expect to have $\bar{F}_{b}=\{1\}$ so that (a) is not an equality.

## 3 The bijection 0.3(a); an example

In this section we consider the example where $G$ is of type $G_{2}$ and that $e$ is a subregular nilpotent element. Let $W$ be as in 0.1 . The simple reflections in $W$ are $s_{0}, s_{1}, s_{2}$ where $s_{0} s_{1}$ has order $3, s_{1} s_{2}$ has order 6 and $s_{0} s_{2}=s_{2} s_{0}$. In this case $c$ is the two-sided cell of $W$ containing $s_{0}, s_{1}, s_{2}$. It is known [4] that $c$ consists of all non-identity elements of $W$ with a unique reduced expression. We write $i_{1} i_{2} i_{3}$ instead of $s_{i_{1}} s_{i_{2}} s_{i_{3}}$. The elements of $c$ are

| 0 | 01 | 012 | 0121 | 01212 | 012121 | 0121210 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 01210 |  |  |  |
|  | 10 | 1 | 12 | 121 | 1212 | 12121 | 121210 |
|  |  |  |  | 1210 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 21 | 212 | 2121 | 21212 |  |  |
|  |  |  | 210 |  | 21210 |  |  |

Note the apparition of two Coxeter graph of affine type $E_{7}$ and one of affine type $D_{6}$. We write the elements of $\mathbf{B}_{e}$ as $[0],[1],[2],\left[2^{\prime}\right],\left[2^{\prime \prime}\right]$. where the action of $F=\bar{F}=S_{3}$ on $\mathbf{B}_{e}$ keeps [0] and [1] fixed and permutes cyclically [2], $\left[2^{\prime}\right],\left[2^{\prime \prime}\right]$. The irreducible representations of $S_{3}$ are denoted by $1, r, \epsilon$ where $r$ is 2 -dimensional and $\epsilon$ is the sign. The irreducible representations of $S_{2}$ are denoted by $1, \epsilon$ where $\epsilon$ is the sign. The unit representation of $S_{1}$ is denoted by 1 .

We show that $c$ is in natural bijection with the set of irreducible $F$-vector bundles on $\mathbf{B}_{e} \times \mathbf{B}_{e}$ (up to isomorphism).

To an element of $c$ we associate the irreducible $F$-vector bundle on $\mathbf{B}_{e} \times \mathbf{B}_{e}$ which appears in the same position in the following list.

$$
\begin{array}{rllllll}
([0][0] ; 1) & ([0][1] ; 1) & ([0][2] ; 1) & ([0][1] ; r) & ([0][2] ; \epsilon) & ([0][1] ; \epsilon) & ([0][0] ; \epsilon) \\
& & ([0][0] ; r) & & \\
([1][0] ; 1) & ([1][1] ; 1) & ([1][2] ; 1) & ([1][1] ; r) & ([1][2] ; \epsilon) & ([1][1] ; \epsilon) & ([1][0] ; \epsilon) \\
& & ([1][0] ; r) & & \\
& & & & & & \\
& ([2][2] ; 1) & ([2][1] ; 1) & \left([2]\left[2^{\prime}\right] ; 1\right) & ([2][1] ; \epsilon) & ([2][2] ; \epsilon) \\
& & ([2][0] ; 1) & ([2][0] ; \epsilon) & &
\end{array}
$$

Here a symbol ([?][?]; ?) represents a vector bundle on $\mathbf{B}_{e} \times \mathbf{B}_{e}$ : the first two components give a point in the support of the vector bundle, the third component is the representation of the stabilizer of that point in the fibre at that point.
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