

## The weak ideal property in tensor products

by  
CORNEL PASNICU

### Abstract

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be  $C^*$ -algebras such that  $A$  or  $B$  is exact. We describe the largest ideal in  $A \otimes B$  which has the weak ideal property. For many  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  and  $B$  as above we characterize when the largest ideal in  $A \otimes B$  which has the weak ideal property is the tensor product of the largest ideals in  $A$  and  $B$  which have the weak ideal property (this is not always true if  $A$  or  $B$  is exact). Assume that the  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  and  $B$  have the weak ideal property (and one of them is exact). We characterize (in an interesting particular case and also in general) when  $A \otimes B$  has the weak ideal property (these two characterizations are totally different in nature).

**Key Words:** Weak ideal property, tensor product  $C^*$ -algebra, largest ideal which has the weak ideal property, primitive spectrum, ideal property.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** Primary 46L06; Secondary 46L05.

## 1 Introduction

In this paper we continue our investigation of the weak ideal property, which was introduced in [8].

**Definition 1.** (*Definition 8.1 of [8]*) Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra. We say that  $A$  has the weak ideal property if whenever  $I \subseteq J \subseteq K \otimes A$  are ideals in  $K \otimes A$  such that  $I \neq J$ , then  $J/I$  contains a non-zero projection.

The weak ideal property is closely related to two other important properties: the ideal property and the topological dimension zero. A  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  has the ideal property if any ideal of  $A$  is generated, as an ideal, by its projections. A  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  has topological dimension zero if its primitive spectrum  $\text{Prim}(A)$  has a base for its topology consisting of compact open sets (Remark 2.5(vi) of [2]). Note that a separable purely infinite  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  has real rank zero if and only if  $A$  has topological dimension zero and it satisfies a certain  $K$ -theoretical condition (see Theorem 4.2 of [12]).

The weak ideal property and the topological dimension zero have good permanence properties (see, e.g., [7], [8], [9], and [2]). It is known that the ideal property  $\Rightarrow$  the weak ideal property  $\Rightarrow$  the topological dimension zero (the first implication is obvious, the second one is Theorem 2.8 of [9]). These three properties are not identical (see [9]). However, it was shown in [9] that, in many interesting cases, these three concepts coincide. A good understanding of the weak ideal property is important in identifying and studying regularity properties for non-simple  $C^*$ -algebras, in an attempt to extend Elliott's Classification Program beyond the class of simple  $C^*$ -algebras.

In this paper, we have been motivated by the following question:

**Question 1.** (Question 4.12 of [9]) *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be  $C^*$ -algebras with  $A$  exact. If  $A$  and  $B$  have the weak ideal property, does  $A \otimes B$  have the weak ideal property?*

This question was recently investigated in several papers (see [9], [4], [5], and [6]). This short note could be seen as a natural continuation of these works.

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be  $C^*$ -algebras such that  $A$  or  $B$  is exact. In Proposition 1 we describe the largest ideal in  $A \otimes B$  which has the weak ideal property. For many  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  and  $B$  as above we characterize when the largest ideal in  $A \otimes B$  which has the weak ideal property is the tensor product of the largest ideals in  $A$  and  $B$  which have the weak ideal property (this is not always true if  $A$  or  $B$  is exact,  $A \neq 0$ , and  $B \neq 0$ , by Remark 1.9 of [5]) (see Theorem 1). Assume that the  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  and  $B$  have the weak ideal property (and one of them is exact). We prove that  $A \otimes B$  has the weak ideal property if and only if  $(A/J) \otimes (B/L)$  has the stable quotient property (see Definition 2) for every  $J \triangleleft A$  and every  $L \triangleleft B$  (see Theorem 3). Assume in addition that there is an ideal  $I \triangleleft A$  such that  $\text{Prim}(A/I)$  is finite. We prove that in this case  $A \otimes B$  has the weak ideal property if and only if  $I \otimes B$  has the weak ideal property (see Theorem 2).

Ideals in  $C^*$ -algebras are assumed to be closed and two sided. If  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra, then  $\mathcal{P}(A)$  will denote the set of all projections of  $A$  ( $\mathcal{P}(A) := \{p \in A : p = p^* = p^2\}$ ),  $\text{Prim}(A)$  will denote the primitive spectrum of  $A$ , and  $I \triangleleft A$  will denote the fact that  $I$  is an ideal of  $A$ . If  $A$  and  $B$  are  $C^*$ -algebras, then  $A \otimes B$  denotes the minimal tensor product of  $A$  with  $B$ . The  $C^*$ -algebra of all compact linear bounded operators acting on a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space is denoted by  $\mathcal{K}$ .

## 2 The results

The following lemma and its proof are contained in the proof of Corollary 1.5 of [10]. We include a proof here for the sake of completeness.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra and let  $I \triangleleft A$  and  $J \triangleleft A$ . If  $I$  and  $J$  have the weak ideal property, then  $I + J$  has the weak ideal property.*

*Proof.* Consider the following short exact sequence of  $C^*$ -algebras:

$$0 \longrightarrow I \longrightarrow I + J \longrightarrow J/(I \cap J) \longrightarrow 0$$

The weak ideal property passes to quotients (Theorem 8.5(5) of [8]), so  $J/(I \cap J)$  has the weak ideal property. Extensions of  $C^*$ -algebras with the weak ideal property have the weak ideal property (Theorem 8.5(5) of [8]), so  $I + J$  has the weak ideal property.  $\square$

**Notation 1.** (see Notation 1.3 of [5]) *Proposition 2.1(14) of [10] and Remark 2.2(1) of [10] imply that the weak ideal property admits largest ideals, that is, for every  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  there is an ideal in  $A$  which has the weak ideal property and which contains every ideal in  $A$  which has the weak ideal property (see Definition 1.1 of [10]). For a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ , we denote by  $I_w(A)$  the largest ideal in  $A$  which has the weak ideal property.*

The following result describes the largest ideal in  $A \otimes B$  which has the weak ideal property, where  $A$  and  $B$  are  $C^*$ -algebras such that  $A$  or  $B$  is exact.

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be  $C^*$ -algebras such that  $A$  or  $B$  is exact. Then  $I_w(A \otimes B)$  is generated (as an ideal of  $A \otimes B$ ) by the family of rectangular ideals  $K \otimes L$  of  $A \otimes B$  which have the weak ideal property.*

*Proof.* Let  $I$  be the ideal of  $A \otimes B$  generated (as an ideal of  $A \otimes B$ ) by the family of rectangular ideals  $K \otimes L$  of  $A \otimes B$  which have the weak ideal property. We want to prove that  $I_w(A \otimes B) = I$ .

We first prove that if  $J \triangleleft A \otimes B$  and  $J$  has the weak ideal property, then  $J \subseteq I$ . Indeed, a theorem of Kirchberg (see Proposition 2.13 of [3]; see also Theorem 1.3 of [11] and [1]) implies that  $J$  is generated (as an ideal of  $A \otimes B$ ) by the family of rectangular ideals  $K \otimes L$  contained in  $J$ . Since for any such rectangular ideal  $K \otimes L$  we have  $K \otimes L \subseteq J$ ,  $J$  has the weak ideal property and the weak ideal property passes to ideals (see Theorem 8.5(5) of [8]), it follows that  $K \otimes L \triangleleft A \otimes B$  and  $K \otimes L$  has the weak ideal property. Hence  $J \subseteq I$ .

We now prove that  $I$  has the weak ideal property. Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be the family of finite sums of rectangular ideals  $K \otimes L$  of  $A \otimes B$  where any such ideal  $K \otimes L$  has the weak ideal property. By Lemma 1 and a standard mathematical induction argument it follows that any element of  $\mathcal{I}$  is an ideal of  $A \otimes B$  which has the weak ideal property. Then, since  $\mathcal{I}$  is directed, we have that  $I = \bigcup_{M \in \mathcal{I}} M \cong \varinjlim_{M \in \mathcal{I}} M$ , and since the weak ideal property is preserved by inductive limits (see Theorem 8.5(4) of [8]), it follows that  $I$  has the weak ideal property.

In conclusion, we proved that  $I_w(A \otimes B) = I$ . □

We recall some notations from [5].

**Notation 2.** (see Notation 2.2 of [5]) *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be non-zero  $C^*$ -algebras and let  $I \triangleleft A \otimes B$ . Assume first that  $I \neq 0$ . Denote by  $I(A)$  the ideal of  $A$  generated by all  $a \in A$  with the property that there is  $b \in B$  such that  $a \otimes b$  is a non-zero element of  $I$ . Similarly, denote by  $I(B)$  the ideal of  $B$  generated by all  $b \in B$  with the property that there is  $a \in A$  such that  $a \otimes b$  is a non-zero element of  $I$ . If  $I = 0$  is the zero ideal of  $A \otimes B$ , denote  $I(A) := 0$  and  $I(B) := 0$ .*

The following result characterizes, in many cases, when  $I_w(A \otimes B) = I_w(A) \otimes I_w(B)$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be  $C^*$ -algebras such that  $A$  or  $B$  is exact. Let  $I := I_w(A \otimes B)$ . Assume that  $I_w(A) \neq 0$  and  $I_w(B) \neq 0$ . Assume that any of the following three conditions holds:*

- (a) *Prim( $A$ ) or Prim( $B$ ) is finite.*
- (b) *Prim( $A$ ) or Prim( $B$ ) is Hausdorff.*
- (c)  *$A$  and  $B$  are separable and  $A$  or  $B$  has the ideal property.*

*Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $I = I_w(A) \otimes I_w(B)$ .
- (2)  $I(A)$  and  $I(B)$  have the weak ideal property.

(3)  $I_w(A) = I(A)$  and  $I_w(B) = I(B)$ .

*Proof.* We first prove that (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1).

Assume that  $I(A)$  and  $I(B)$  have the weak ideal property. Using that any of the conditions (a), (b) and (c) holds and results in [9] (see Proposition 4.10 of [9], Proposition 4.11 of [9], and Theorem 4.8 of [9]) we deduce that  $I_w(A) \otimes I_w(B)$  has the weak ideal property and hence:

$$I_w(A) \otimes I_w(B) \subseteq I_w(A \otimes B) = I. \quad (2.1)$$

By Theorem 2.3(2) of [5] we have:

$$I \subseteq I(A) \otimes I(B) \quad (2.2)$$

Combining (2.1) and (2.2), we get:

$$I_w(A) \otimes I_w(B) \subseteq I \subseteq I(A) \otimes I(B) \quad (2.3)$$

Using (2.3), the fact that  $I_w(A) \neq 0$  and  $I_w(B) \neq 0$ , and Lemma 1.4 of [5] we deduce that:

$$I_w(A) \subseteq I(A), I_w(B) \subseteq I(B) \quad (2.4)$$

Since  $I(A)$  and  $I(B)$  have the weak ideal property, (2.4) implies that:

$$I_w(A) = I(A), I_w(B) = I(B) \quad (2.5)$$

(we used the definitions of  $I_w(A)$  and  $I_w(B)$ ).

Finally, combining (2.3) and (2.5) we get  $I = I_w(A) \otimes I_w(B)$ , which ends the proof of (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1).

We now prove that (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3).

Assume that  $I = I_w(A) \otimes I_w(B)$ . It was showed in the above proof of (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) that  $I_w(A) \otimes I_w(B) \subseteq I_w(A \otimes B) = I$ , which implies that  $I_w(A \otimes B) = I \neq 0$ , since  $I_w(A) \neq 0$  and  $I_w(B) \neq 0$ . Finally, since  $I \neq 0$ , Theorem 2.9(2) of [5] implies that  $I_w(A) = I(A)$  and  $I_w(B) = I(B)$ .

The proof of (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) is obvious. □

**Remark 1.** *It is easy to see that the above theorem still holds if in the conditions (a), (b) and (c) we replace  $A$  by  $I_w(A)$  and  $B$  by  $I_w(B)$  (it is well-known that the exactness passes to ideals).*

The following theorem characterizes, in an interesting particular case, when  $A \otimes B$  has the weak ideal property, knowing that both factors have the weak ideal property and one of them is exact.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be  $C^*$ -algebras that have the weak ideal property and such that  $A$  or  $B$  is exact. Suppose that there exists an ideal  $I \triangleleft A$  such that  $\text{Prim}(A/I)$  is finite. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1)  $A \otimes B$  has the weak ideal property.

(2)  $I \otimes B$  has the weak ideal property.

*Proof.* We first prove that (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2).

Assume that  $A \otimes B$  has the weak ideal property. Since  $I \otimes B \triangleleft A \otimes B$  and the weak ideal property passes to ideals (by Theorem 8.5(5) of [8]), it follows that  $I \otimes B$  has the weak ideal property.

We now prove that (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1).

Assume that  $I \otimes B$  has the weak ideal property. Since  $A$  or  $B$  is exact, by Proposition 2.17(2) of [1] and Proposition 2.16(iv) of [1] the sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow I \otimes B \longrightarrow A \otimes B \longrightarrow (A/I) \otimes B \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact. Since  $\text{Prim}(A/I)$  is finite and since also  $A/I$  has the weak ideal property (because  $A$  has the weak ideal property and the weak ideal property passes to quotients by Theorem 8.5(5) of [8]), we have that  $(A/I) \otimes B$  has the weak ideal property by Proposition 4.10 of [9]. Since the weak ideal property is preserved by extensions (see Theorem 8.5(5) of [8]),  $I \otimes B$  has the weak ideal property and  $(A/I) \otimes B$  has the weak ideal property, we deduce, using also the above exact sequence of  $C^*$ -algebras, that  $A \otimes B$  has the weak ideal property.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be  $C^*$ -algebras that have the weak ideal property and such that  $A$  or  $B$  is exact. Suppose that there exist ideals  $I \triangleleft A$  and  $J \triangleleft B$  such that  $\text{Prim}(A/I)$  and  $\text{Prim}(B/J)$  are finite. The following are equivalent:*

(1)  $A \otimes B$  has the weak ideal property.

(2)  $I \otimes J$  has the weak ideal property.

*Proof.* Use twice Theorem 2, the fact that the weak ideal property passes to ideals (Theorem 8.5(5) of [8]), and the well-known fact that exactness passes to ideals.  $\square$

**Remark 2.** *Theorem 2 and Corollary 1 hold, in particular, if  $I$  and  $J$  are maximal ideals of  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively, since in these cases  $\text{Prim}(A/I)$  and  $\text{Prim}(B/J)$  have each only one element.*

**Definition 2.** *We say that a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  has the stable quotient property if for every ideal  $I \triangleleft A$  such that  $\mathcal{P}(I \otimes \mathcal{K}) \neq \{0\}$ , we have that  $\mathcal{P}((I/J) \otimes \mathcal{K}) \neq \{0\}$  for every  $J \triangleleft A$ ,  $J \subsetneq I$ .*

**Remark 3.** (1) *Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra such that  $A$  has the weak ideal property. Then  $A$  has the stable quotient property.*

(2) *Let  $A$  be a non-zero simple  $C^*$ -algebra, such as those classified in [13], for which  $\mathcal{P}(A \otimes \mathcal{K}) = \{0\}$ . Then  $A$  has the stable quotient property.*

**Notation 3.** (see Notation 2.1 of [5]; see also Lemma 2.13(i) of [1]) *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be  $C^*$ -algebras and let  $I \triangleleft A \otimes B$ . Denote  $I_A := \{a \in A : a \otimes B \subseteq I\}$  and  $I_B := \{b \in B : A \otimes b \subseteq I\}$ . When  $I$  is a prime ideal of  $A \otimes B$ , this notation was introduced in Lemma 2.13(i) of [1].*

The following theorem characterizes when a tensor product of  $C^*$ -algebras has the weak ideal property, knowing that both factors have the weak ideal property and one of the factors is exact.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two  $C^*$ -algebras that have the weak ideal property. Assume that  $A$  or  $B$  is exact. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $A \otimes B$  has the weak ideal property.
- (2)  $(A/J) \otimes (B/L)$  has the stable quotient property for every  $J \triangleleft A$  and every  $L \triangleleft B$ .

*Proof.* We begin with an observation. Let  $J \triangleleft A$  and  $L \triangleleft B$  be arbitrary ideals. Since  $A$  or  $B$  is exact, Proposition 2.17 of [1], Proposition 2.16(ii) of [1] and Lemma 2.12(iii) of [1] imply that there is a  $*$ -isomorphism:

$$(A \otimes B)/(J \otimes B + A \otimes L) \cong (A/J) \otimes (B/L) \quad (2.6)$$

We first prove that (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2).

Assume that  $A \otimes B$  has the weak ideal property. Since the weak ideal property passes to quotients (see Theorem 8.5(5) of [8]), using also the  $*$ -isomorphism from (2.6) we deduce that  $(A/J) \otimes (B/L)$  has the weak ideal property, and hence  $(A/J) \otimes (B/L)$  has the stable quotient property.

We now prove that (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1).

Assume that  $(A/J) \otimes (B/L)$  has the stable quotient property for every  $J \triangleleft A$  and every  $L \triangleleft B$ . Assume also that  $0 \neq S \triangleleft (A \otimes B)/I$ , where  $I \triangleleft A \otimes B$ . The obvious inclusion of ideals of  $A \otimes B$

$$I_A \otimes B + A \otimes I_B \subseteq I \quad (2.7)$$

(see Theorem 2.3(1) of [5] and also [1]) canonically induces a surjective  $*$ -homomorphism  $\Phi$  defined on  $(A \otimes B)/(I_A \otimes B + A \otimes I_B)$  and with values in  $(A \otimes B)/I$ . Note that in order to prove that  $A \otimes B$  has the weak ideal property it is enough to show that  $\mathcal{P}(S \otimes \mathcal{K}) \neq \{0\}$ . Now let  $T := \Phi^{-1}(S)$ . Then  $T \triangleleft (A \otimes B)/(I_A \otimes B + A \otimes I_B)$ ,  $\Phi(T) = S$  and hence  $T \neq 0$  (since  $\Phi$  is linear and  $S \neq 0$ ). By Corollary 4 of [4] (and Definition 9 of [4]) it follows that:

$$\mathcal{P}(T \otimes \mathcal{K}) \neq \{0\} \quad (2.8)$$

On the other hand  $S \cong T/\ker(\Phi|_T)$  and hence:

$$S \otimes \mathcal{K} \cong (T/\ker(\Phi|_T)) \otimes \mathcal{K} \quad (2.9)$$

Finally, since  $(A \otimes B)/(I_A \otimes B + A \otimes I_B)$  has the stable quotient property (use (2.6) and the fact that  $(A/I_A) \otimes (B/I_B)$  has the stable quotient property by our hypothesis), using also (2.8) and the fact that  $T/\ker(\Phi|_T) \neq 0$  (since  $T/\ker(\Phi|_T) \cong S \neq 0$ ), we deduce that  $\mathcal{P}((T/\ker(\Phi|_T)) \otimes \mathcal{K}) \neq 0$ , which implies that  $\mathcal{P}(S \otimes \mathcal{K}) \neq \{0\}$  (use (2.9)). This ends the proof of (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). □

## References

- [1] E. BLANCHARD, E. KIRCHBERG, Non-simple purely infinite  $C^*$ -algebras: the Hausdorff case, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **207**, 461-513 (2004).
- [2] L. G. BROWN, G. K. PEDERSEN, Limits and  $C^*$ -algebras of low rank or dimension, *J. Operator Theory*, **61**, 381-417 (2009).
- [3] E. KIRCHBERG, The classification of purely infinite  $C^*$ -algebras using Kasparov's theory, *Fields Inst. Commun., Amer. Math. Soc.*, Providence, in preparation (Preprint 1994).
- [4] C. PASNICU, On and around the weak ideal property, *Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie*, **63 (111)**, 199-204 (2020).
- [5] C. PASNICU, Ideals in tensor products, topological dimension zero and the weak ideal property, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **501**, 125210 (2021).
- [6] C. PASNICU, On the weak ideal property and some related properties, *Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie*, **64 (112)**, 147-157 (2021).
- [7] C. PASNICU, N. C. PHILLIPS, Permanence properties for crossed products and fixed point algebras of finite groups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **366**, 4625-4648 (2014).
- [8] C. PASNICU, N. C. PHILLIPS, Crossed products by spectrally free actions, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **269**, 915-967 (2015).
- [9] C. PASNICU, N. C. PHILLIPS, The weak ideal property and topological dimension zero, *Canad. J. Math.*, **69**, 1385-1421 (2017).
- [10] C. PASNICU, N. C. PHILLIPS, Relating properties of crossed products to those of fixed point algebras, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **68**, 1885-1901 (2019).
- [11] C. PASNICU, M. RØRDAM, Tensor products of  $C^*$ -algebras with the ideal property, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **177**, 130-137 (2000).
- [12] C. PASNICU, M. RØRDAM, Purely infinite  $C^*$ -algebras of real rank zero, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **613**, 51-73 (2007).
- [13] S. RAZAK, On the classification of simple stably projectionless  $C^*$ -algebras, *Canad. J. Math.*, **54**, 138-224 (2002).

Received: 18.09.2021

Accepted: 18.11.2021

Department of Mathematics  
The University of Texas at San Antonio  
San Antonio TX 78249, USA  
E-mail: [Cornel.Pasnicu@utsa.edu](mailto:Cornel.Pasnicu@utsa.edu)