

Number of epimorphisms between finite Łukasiewicz algebras

by
L. F. MONTEIRO

Abstract

In this note we provide an explicit construction of all epimorphisms between finite Łukasiewicz algebras and we determine its number.

Key Words: Boolean algebras, Łukasiewicz and Post algebras.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary: 03G05, Secondary 03G20.

1 Preliminaries

The notion of a three-valued Łukasiewicz algebra [5] was introduced by Gr. Moisil [6, 8, 9]. A. Monteiro [10, 11], (see also [12]) has shown that a three-valued Łukasiewicz algebra can be considered as an algebra $(L, 1, \sim, \nabla, \vee, \wedge)$ of type $(0, 1, 1, 2, 2)$ such that $(L, 1, \sim, \vee, \wedge)$ is a De Morgan algebra and ∇ fulfills the following conditions:

$$\sim x \vee \nabla x = 1, \quad \sim x \wedge x = \sim x \wedge \nabla x, \quad \nabla(x \wedge y) = \nabla x \wedge \nabla y.$$

For short, we shall say that L is a Łukasiewicz algebra. The necessity operator is defined by $\Delta x = \sim \nabla \sim x$. It is well known that $B(L) = \{x \in L : \nabla x = x\} = \{x \in L : \Delta x = x\}$ is a Boolean algebra. Gr. Moisil [6, 7] has proved that Łukasiewicz algebras satisfy the following *determination principle*: If $\nabla x = \nabla y$ and $\Delta x = \Delta y$ then $x = y$. (see also [14]). A Łukasiewicz algebra L is said to be axled provided there exists $e \in L$, called the axis of L ([7], page 88), if: $\Delta e = 0$ and $\nabla x \leq \Delta x \vee \nabla e$, for every $x \in L$. If the axis of L exists, it is unique, and using the determination principle, it is easy to see that $x = (\Delta x \vee e) \wedge \nabla x$, for every $x \in L$, [15].

Let B and B' be Boolean algebras, we denote by $\text{Hom}(B, B')$ ($\text{Epi}(B, B')$) the set of all boolean homomorphisms (epimorphisms) from B to B' . Denoting the Boolean algebra with n atoms by B_n , $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n \geq 0$, then if $m < n$ we have $\text{Epi}(B_m, B_n) = \emptyset$.

If $b \in B_m$ and $b' \in B_n$ let $Epi^{(b,b')}(B_m, B_n) = \{h \in Epi(B_m, B_n) : h(b) = b'\}$.

Let L and L' be Lukasiewicz algebras, we denote by $Epi(L, L')$ the set of all epimorphisms from L to L' .

Lemma 1.1. *If L and L' are Lukasiewicz algebras with axes e and e' respectively and $H \in Epi(L, L')$ then $H(e) = e'$. If $h = H|_{B(L)}$ then*

$$h \in Epi^{(\nabla e, \nabla e')}(B(L), B(L')).$$

Proof: (1) $\Delta H(e) = H(\Delta e) = H(0) = 0'$.

If $y \in L'$, then as H is a surjective map there exists $x \in L$ such that $H(x) = y$, thus (2) $\nabla y = \nabla H(x) = H(\nabla x) \leq H(\Delta x \vee \nabla e) = H(\Delta x) \vee H(\nabla e) = \Delta H(x) \vee \nabla H(e) = \Delta y \vee \nabla H(e)$.

From (1) and (2) we have that $H(e)$ is the axis of L' and as the axis is unique $H(e) = e'$.

Moreover $h(\nabla e) = H(\nabla e) = \nabla H(e) = \nabla e'$. □

A Lukasiewicz algebra L is said to be centered provided there exists $c \in L$, called the center of L , if $\sim c = c$ (Gr. Moisil, [6]). The centered Lukasiewicz algebras coincide with the three-valued Post algebras. For short, we shall say that L is a Post algebra. An element c of a Lukasiewicz algebra L is a center of L if and only if $\Delta c = 0$ and $\nabla c = 1$. All centered Lukasiewicz algebras are axled Lukasiewicz algebras. We denote by $\mathbf{T} = \{0, c, 1\}$ the ordered set where $0 < c < 1$, so as $\mathbf{T}$ is a finite chain, $\mathbf{T}$ is a bounded distributive lattice. If we define $\sim 0 = 0, \sim c = c, \sim 1 = 0, \nabla 0 = 0, \nabla c = \nabla 1 = 1$, then $\mathbf{T}$ is a Post algebra.

We denote by $\mathcal{A}(B_n)$ the set of all atoms of B_n . If $b \in B_n \setminus \{0\}$ let $\mathcal{A}(b) = \{a \in \mathcal{A}(B_n) : a \leq b\}$.

If f is any map, $f : \mathcal{A}(B_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(B_m)$ the map $h_f : B_m \rightarrow B_n$ defined by

$$h_f(x) = \bigvee \{a \in \mathcal{A}(B_n) : f(a) \leq x\},$$

verifies:

$$(A1) \quad h_f \in Hom(B_m, B_n),$$

$$(A2) \quad \text{If } a \in \mathcal{A}(B_m) \text{ then } h_f(a) = 0 \text{ if and only if } a \notin f(\mathcal{A}(B_n)),$$

$$(A3) \quad h_f \text{ is an epimorphism if and only if } f \text{ is an injective map, [19, 20],}$$

$$(A4) \quad h_f \text{ is one to one if and only if } f \text{ is a surjective map [19, 20].}$$

If $h \in Epi(B_m, B_n)$ and $b \in \mathcal{A}(B_n)$ then $[b]$ is a maximal filter of B_n and $h^{-1}([b])$ is a maximal filter of B_m then $h^{-1}([b]) = [a]$ where $a \in \mathcal{A}(B_m)$. Also $Nuc(h) \subseteq [a]$. Let $f : \mathcal{A}(B_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(B_m)$ be the map defined by $f(a) = b$, then f is an injective map and $h_f = h$. It is well known that if we define $\Phi(f) = h_f$

then Φ is a bijection between the set $IM(\mathcal{A}(B_n), \mathcal{A}(B_m))$ of all injective maps from $\mathcal{A}(B_n)$ to $\mathcal{A}(B_m)$ and the set $Epi(B_m, B_n)$. The cardinality of a finite set X is denoted by $|X|$. If we set:

$$V_{m,n} = \begin{cases} \frac{m!}{(m-n)!} & \text{if } m \geq n \\ 0, & \text{if } m < n, \end{cases}$$

then:

$$|Epi(B_m, B_n)| = V_{m,n}.$$

Lemma 1.2. *If $m \geq n \geq 1$ and $h \in Epi(B_m, B_n)$ then:*

- (A5) *If $a \in \mathcal{A}(B_m)$, then $h(a) = 0$ or $h(a) \in \mathcal{A}(B_n)$,*
 - (A6) *If $b \in \mathcal{A}(B_n)$, there exists a unique $a \in \mathcal{A}(B_m)$, such that $h(a) = b$,*
 - (A7) *If h is a monomorphism then for any $a \in \mathcal{A}(B_m)$, $h(a) \in \mathcal{A}(B_n)$.*
- [2, 3], [18].

Let B be a Boolean algebra, F a filter of B , and consider the relation $x \equiv y \pmod{F}$ if and only if there exists $f \in F$ such that $x \wedge f = y \wedge f$ is a congruence. Denote by B/F the quotient algebra. The following is a different way of determine $|Epi(B_m, B_n)|$, where $m \geq n \geq 1$. If $h \in Epi(B_m, B_n)$ then the quotient algebra $B_m/Nuc(h)$ is isomorphic to B_n , i.e. $B_m/Nuc(h) \cong B_n$. Since B_m is finite $Nuc(h) = [x]$, $x \in B_m$, and also $B_m/[x] \cong [x]$ then $|[x]| = |B_n| = 2^n$. Let B be a Boolean algebra and F a filter of B , then every congruence class module F has the same cardinality as F (A. Monteiro [17]). So $|[x]| \cdot t = |B_m|$ i.e. $2^n \cdot t = 2^m$ and then $t = 2^{m-n}$. Therefore if $b' \in B_n$ the set $\{b \in B_m : h(b) = b'\}$ has 2^{m-n} elements.

If B_n is a homomorphic image of B_m then there exists $x \in B_m$ such that $[x] \cong B_n$ therefore x is the supremum of n atoms of B_m . There exist $\binom{m}{n}$ elements of B_m which are supremum of n atoms of B_m . Let $\mathcal{F}_n$ be the set of all filters $[x]$ where x is the supremum of n atoms of B_m . If we denote by $Aut(B_n)$ the set of all automorphisms of the Boolean algebra B_n , then if $\alpha \in Aut(B_n)$, α is in particular a bijection of $\mathcal{A}(B_n)$ then by (A7) of Lemma 1.2, $\alpha(a) \in \mathcal{A}(B_n)$ for any $a \in \mathcal{A}(B_n)$. Clearly there exist $n!$ bijections of $\mathcal{A}(B_n)$, so $|Aut(B_n)| = n!$.

If $h \in Epi(B_m, B_n)$ then $Nuc(h) \in \mathcal{F}_n$. Let $\beta : Epi(B_m, B_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_n$ be the map defined by $\beta(h) = Nuc(h)$. If $\alpha \in Aut(B_n)$ then $\alpha \circ h \in Epi(B_m, B_n)$. Moreover if $[x] \in \mathcal{F}_n$ then $\beta^{-1}([x])$ is a filter of B_m and $|\beta^{-1}([x])| = n!$ then $n! \cdot \binom{m}{n} = V_{m,n} = |Epi(B_m, B_n)|$.

If $b \in B_m \setminus \{0\}$ and $h \in Epi(B_m, B_n)$ then $h(b) = 0$ or $h(b) \neq 0$. If $b' = h(b) = 0$ then $|Epi^{(b,0)}(B_m, B_n)|$ is equal to the number of injective maps from $\mathcal{A}(B_n)$ to $\mathcal{A}(B_m) \setminus \mathcal{A}(b)$ i.e.:

$$|Epi^{(b,0)}(B_m, B_n)| = V_{m-|\mathcal{A}(b)|,n},$$

and if $b' = h(b) \neq 0$, [15] then:

$$|Epi^{(b,b')}(B_m, B_n)| = V_{m,n} - V_{m-|\mathcal{A}(b)|,n}.$$

If $b \in B_m$ and $b' \in B_n \setminus \{0'\}$ then $h_f(b) = b'$ if and only if $f(\mathcal{A}(b')) \subseteq \mathcal{A}(b)$ and $f(\mathcal{A}(-b')) \subseteq \mathcal{A}(-b)$, so

$$|Epi^{(b,b')}(B_m, B_n)| = V_{|\mathcal{A}(b)|,|\mathcal{A}(b')|} \cdot V_{m-|\mathcal{A}(b)|,n-|\mathcal{A}(b')|}.$$

If $b \neq 0$ then $h(b) = 0$ if and only if $f(\mathcal{A}(B_n)) \subseteq \mathcal{A}(B_m) \setminus \mathcal{A}(b)$.

Lemma 1.3. *If $m \geq n$, $h \in Epi^{(b,b')}(B_m, B_n)$, where $b \in B_m \setminus \{0\}$ and $b' \in B_n \setminus \{0'\}$, then*

(A8) *If $a \in \mathcal{A}(b)$ and $h(a) \neq 0'$ then $h(a) \in \mathcal{A}(b')$.*

(A9) *If $a \notin \mathcal{A}(b)$ and $h(a) \neq 0'$ then $h(a) \notin \mathcal{A}(b')$.*

Proof: If $a \in \mathcal{A}(b)$ i.e. $a \leq b$ then $h(a) \leq h(b) = b'$ so if $h(a) \neq 0'$, $h(a) \in \mathcal{A}(B_n)$ thus $h(a) \in \mathcal{A}(b')$.

If $h(a) \leq b' = h(b)$ then $h(a) = h(a) \wedge h(b) = h(a \wedge b)$, so $a \equiv a \wedge b \pmod{Nuc(h)}$. Since B_m is finite $Nuc(h) = [f]$ where $f \in B_m$, then (1) $a \wedge f = a \wedge b \wedge f$. From $0 \leq a \wedge f \leq a$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}(B_m)$ it follows (2) $a \wedge f = a$ or (3) $a \wedge f = 0$. In the case (2) we have by (1) that $a = a \wedge b \wedge f \leq b$, contradiction. In the case (3), Since $h(f) = 1$ we have $0 = h(0) = h(a \wedge f) = h(a) \wedge h(f) = a \wedge 1 = a$, contradiction. So $h(a) \leq b'$. $\square$

From (A3) and Lemma 1.3, if $h \in Epi^{(b,b')}(B_m, B_n)$, $b \neq 0$ $b' \neq 0'$ then $f = \Phi^{-1}(h)$ verifies:

(C1) $f \in IM(\mathcal{A}(B_n), \mathcal{A}(B_m))$,

(C2) $f(\mathcal{A}(b')) \subseteq \mathcal{A}(b)$,

(C3) $f(\mathcal{A}(-b')) \subseteq \mathcal{A}(-b)$.

If $h \in Hom(B_m, B_n)$ then (1) $S = h(B_m)$ is a subalgebra of B_n so (2) $h(B_m) \cong B_t$ where $1 \leq t \leq n$ and $t \leq m$. Let $\mathcal{A}(S) = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_t\}$.

From (1) and (2), $h \in Epi(B_m, S)$ then $g = \Phi^{-1}(h) \in IM(\mathcal{A}(S), \mathcal{A}(B_m))$ and (3) $h_g = h$. Since $\{\mathcal{A}(s_1), \mathcal{A}(s_2), \dots, \mathcal{A}(s_t)\}$ is a partition of the set $\mathcal{A}(B_n)$, if $b \in \mathcal{A}(B_n)$ there exists an index i , $1 \leq i \leq t$ such that $b \in \mathcal{A}(s_i)$, i.e. $b \leq s_i$.

Let us define a map $f : \mathcal{A}(B_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(B_m)$ by: $f(b) = g(s_i)$ if and only if $b \in \mathcal{A}(s_i)$. If there exists i , $1 \leq i \leq t$ such that $|\mathcal{A}(s_i)| > 1$ then f is not an injective map. Note that by definition of the map f we have $f(\mathcal{A}(B_n)) = g(\mathcal{A}(S))$. By (A1) $h_f = \Phi(f) \in Hom(B_m, B_n)$. Let us prove that $h_f = h$. In view of (3), it suffices to prove that $h_f = h_g$ which is equivalent to prove that $h_f(a) = h_g(a)$

for every $a \in \mathcal{A}(B_m)$. If $a \in f(\mathcal{A}(S))$ i.e. $a = f(b)$, $b \in \mathcal{A}(S)$, as by definition $f(b) = g(s_i)$ where $b \in \mathcal{A}(s_i)$, then $h_f(a) = s_i$ and $h_g(a) = s_i$. If $a \notin f(\mathcal{A}(S))$ then $h_f(a) = 0$ and $h_g(a) = 0$.

If $m < n$ then $|Epi(B_m, B_n)| = 0$, and if $h \in Hom(B_m, B_n)$ then $h(B_m)$ is a boolean subalgebra of B_n such that $1 \leq |\mathcal{A}(h(B_m))| \leq m < n$.

It is well known that there exists a bijective map from boolean subalgebras of B_n and the partitions of the set $\mathcal{A}(B_n)$, moreover the number of boolean subalgebras of B_n with t atoms $1 \leq t \leq n$, is:

$$P(n, t) = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{t-1} (-1)^i \cdot \binom{t}{i} \cdot (t-i)^n}{t!}.$$

So if S is a boolean subalgebra of B_n with t atoms, where $1 \leq t \leq m < n$ then there exist $V_{m,t}$ epimorphisms from B_m to S , so if $m < n$

$$|Hom(B_m, B_n)| = \sum_{t=1}^m P(n, t) \cdot V_{m,t}.$$

Note that if $m \geq n$ then

$$\begin{aligned} |Hom(B_m, B_n)| &= |Epi(B_m, B_n)| + \sum_{t=1}^{n-1} P(n, t) \cdot |Epi(B_m, B_t)| = \\ &= V_{m,n} + \sum_{t=1}^{n-1} P(n, t) \cdot V_{m,t}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us denote $\mathbf{B}$ the Boolean algebra B_1 . Let L be a finite Lukasiewicz algebra, then

$$L \cong \mathbf{B}^j \times \mathbf{T}^k, \text{ where } j, k \in \mathbb{Z}, j \geq 0, k \geq 0.$$

- (T) If $j = k = 0$ then L is trivial, i.e. L have a unique element,
- (B) If $j \geq 1, k = 0$ then L is a Boolean algebra with j atoms,
- (P) If $j = 0, k \geq 1$ then L is a Post algebra and $B(L)$ is a Boolean algebra with k atoms,
- (E) If $j \geq 1, k \geq 1$ then L is an axled Lukasiewicz algebra. Then L is neither a Boolean algebra, nor a Post algebra. The axis of L is the $(j+k)$ -tuple

$$e = (\underbrace{0, 0, \dots, 0}_j, \underbrace{c, \dots, c}_k), \text{ then } \nabla e = (\underbrace{0, 0, \dots, 0}_j, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_k).$$

$B(L)$ is a Boolean algebra with $(j+k)$ atoms, whose elements are

$$(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_j, b_{j+1}, \dots, b_{j+k}),$$

where $b_i = 0 \in \mathbf{B} = \{0, 1\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq j$ and $b_i \in \{0, 1\} \subset \mathbf{T}$ for $j+1 \leq i \leq j+k$. If $b \in B(L)$ let

$J(b) = \{i : b_i = 1, 1 \leq i \leq j\}$, and $K(b) = \{i : b_i = 1, j+1 \leq i \leq j+k\}$,
then $0 \leq |J(b)| \leq j$ and $0 \leq |K(b)| \leq k$.

It is well known that if L is a finite non trivial Łukasiewicz algebra then all homomorphic images of L are determined by the filters $[b]$ where $b \in B(L)$, and the quotient algebra $L/[b]$ is isomorphic to the Łukasiewicz algebra $(b) = \{x \in L : x \leq b\}$. Since $B(L)$ has 2^{j+k} elements then: (C) there exist 2^{j+k} homomorphic images of L .

- (B) If $j \geq 1$, $k = 0$, then L has 2^j homomorphic images, which are Boolean algebras.
- (P) If $j = 0$, $k \geq 1$, then L has 2^k homomorphic images, which are Post algebras.
- (E) If $j \geq 1$, $k \geq 1$, then L has 2^{j+k} homomorphic images, which are axled algebras.
- (E1) If $|K(b)| = 0$, then $(b) \cong B^{|J(b)|}$ so there exist $\binom{j}{j_1}$, $0 \leq j_1 \leq j$ homomorphic images of L which are Boolean algebras with j_1 atoms, and therefore there exist 2^{j_1} homomorphic images which are Boolean algebras. Note that if $|J(b)| = 0$, then $L/[b]$ is a trivial algebra.
- (E2) If $|J(b)| = 0$, then $(b) \cong \mathbf{T}^{|K(b)|}$ so there exist $\binom{k}{k_1}$, $0 \leq k_1 \leq k$ homomorphic images L' of L which are Post algebras, such that $B(L')$ is a Boolean algebra with k_1 atoms, and therefore there exist 2^{k_1} homomorphic images which are Post algebras. If $|K(b)| = 0$, then $L/[b]$ is a trivial algebra trivial, which coincides with the trivial algebra of (E1).
- (E3) If $1 \leq j_1 = |J(b)| \leq j$ and $1 \leq k_1 = |K(b)| \leq k$, then $L/[b] \cong (b) \cong \mathbf{B}^{|J(b)|} \times \mathbf{T}^{|K(b)|}$ is an axled homomorphic image.

Then the number of axled homomorphic images of L is:

$$\sum_{i=1}^j \binom{j}{i} \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \binom{k}{i} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^j \binom{j}{i} \cdot (2^k - 1) =$$

$$(2^k - 1) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^j \binom{j}{i} = (2^k - 1) \cdot (2^j - 1).$$

Since in the cases (E1) and (E2) we obtain the same homomorphic image, the trivial algebra, the number of homomorphic images is:

$$2^j + (2^k - 1) + (2^k - 1) \cdot (2^j - 1) = 2^j + (2^k - 1) \cdot (1 + (2^j - 1)) =$$

$$= 2^j + (2^k - 1) \cdot 2^j = 2^j \cdot (1 + 2^k - 1) = 2^j \cdot 2^k = 2^{j+k},$$

which coincides with (C).

2 Main text

The following result generalizes those obtained by us in [13, 15] and lemma 4.1 of [16].

Theorem 2.1. *If L and L' are axled Lukasiewicz algebras with axis e and e' respectively and $h \in \text{Epi}^{(\nabla e, \nabla e')}(B(L), B(L'))$ then the map $H : L \rightarrow L'$ defined by*

$$H(x) = (h(\Delta x) \vee e') \wedge h(\nabla x)$$

verifies (I) H is an extension of h , (II) $H \in \text{Epi}(L, L')$, and (III) H is the unique extension of h .

Proof: (I) Indeed, if $b \in B(L)$ then $\Delta b = \nabla b = b$, thus $H(b) = (h(\Delta b) \vee e') \wedge h(\nabla b) = (h(b) \vee e') \wedge h(b) = h(b)$.

(IIa) $H(x \wedge y) = (h(\Delta(x \wedge y)) \vee e') \wedge h(\nabla(x \wedge y)) = (h(\Delta x \wedge \Delta y) \vee e') \wedge h(\nabla x \wedge \nabla y) = (h(\Delta x) \wedge h(\Delta y)) \vee e' \wedge h(\nabla x) \wedge h(\nabla y) = ((h(\Delta x) \vee e') \wedge (h(\Delta y) \vee e')) \wedge h(\nabla x) \wedge h(\nabla y) = (h(\Delta x) \vee e') \wedge h(\nabla x) \wedge (h(\Delta y) \vee e') \wedge h(\nabla y) = H(x) \wedge H(y)$.

(IIb) Since H is an extension of h and $\nabla x \in B(L)$ then (1) $H(\nabla x) = h(\nabla x)$.
(2) $\nabla H(x) = \nabla((h(\Delta x) \vee e') \wedge h(\nabla x)) = (\nabla h(\Delta x) \vee \nabla e') \wedge \nabla h(\nabla x) = (h(\Delta x) \vee \nabla e') \wedge h(\nabla x)$.

Since $\nabla x \leq \Delta x \vee \nabla e$, $\nabla x, \Delta x, \nabla e, \Delta x \vee \nabla e \in B(L)$, $\nabla x \leq \Delta x \vee \nabla e$ and h is a boolean homomorphism verifying $h(\nabla e) = \nabla e'$ we have (3) $h(\nabla x) \leq h(\Delta x \vee \nabla e) = h(\Delta x) \vee h(\nabla e) = h(\Delta x) \vee \nabla e'$. From (2) and (3) we have (4) $\nabla H(x) = h(\nabla x)$. From (1) and (4) we conclude $\nabla H(x) = H(\nabla x)$.

(IIc) Moreover $H(\sim x) = (h(\Delta \sim x) \vee e') \wedge h(\nabla \sim x)$, so
(5) $\Delta H(\sim x) = (h(\Delta \sim x) \vee \Delta e') \wedge h(\nabla \sim x) = (h(\Delta \sim x) \vee 0') \wedge h(\nabla \sim x) = h(\Delta \sim x) \wedge h(\nabla \sim x) = h(\Delta \sim x)$, and
 $\nabla H(\sim x) = (h(\Delta \sim x) \vee \nabla e') \wedge h(\nabla \sim x) = (h(\Delta \sim x) \vee h(\nabla e)) \wedge h(\nabla \sim x) = (h(\Delta \sim x \vee \nabla e) \wedge \nabla \sim x)$ and since $\nabla \sim x \leq \Delta \sim x \vee \nabla e$ we have:
(6) $\nabla H(\sim x) = h(\nabla \sim x)$. On the other hand, $\sim H(x) = (\sim h(\Delta x) \wedge \sim e') \vee \sim h(\nabla x)$.

Since h is a boolean epimorphism, if $b \in B(L)$ then (7) $h(\sim b) = \sim h(b)$, so $\sim H(x) = (\sim h(\Delta x) \wedge \sim e') \vee \sim h(\nabla x) = (h(\sim \Delta x) \wedge \sim e') \vee h(\sim \nabla x)$. Therefore (8) $\Delta \sim H(x) = (\Delta h(\sim \Delta x) \wedge \Delta \sim e') \vee \Delta h(\sim \nabla x) = (h(\sim \Delta x) \wedge \sim \nabla e') \vee h(\sim \nabla x) = (\sim h(\Delta x) \wedge \sim h(\nabla e)) \vee \sim h(\nabla x) = \sim [h(\Delta x) \vee h(\nabla e)] \wedge h(\nabla x) = \sim h((\Delta x \vee \nabla e) \wedge \nabla x) = \sim h(\nabla x) = \sim h(\nabla x) = h(\Delta \sim x)$, and (9) $\nabla \sim H(x) = (\nabla h(\sim \Delta x) \wedge \nabla \sim e') \vee \nabla h(\sim \nabla x) = (h(\sim \Delta x) \wedge \sim \Delta e') \vee h(\sim \nabla x) = (h(\sim \Delta x) \wedge \sim 0) \vee h(\sim \nabla x) = h(\sim \Delta x) \vee h(\sim \nabla x) = h(\sim \Delta x) = h(\nabla \sim x)$.

From (5) and (8) we conclude (10) $\Delta H(\sim x) = \Delta \sim H(x)$ and from (6) and (9) we have (11) $\nabla H(\sim x) = \nabla \sim H(x)$. Finally from (10) and (11) we have by the determination principle of Moisil: $\sim H(x) = H(\sim x)$.

(IId) Let $y \in L'$ then $y = (\Delta y \vee e') \wedge \nabla y$. Since $\nabla y, \Delta y \in B(L')$ and h is

a boolean epimorphism there exist $b_1, b_2 \in B(L)$ such that $h(b_1) = \Delta y$ and $h(b_2) = \nabla y$. Let $b_3 = b_1 \vee b_2 \in B(L)$, and $x = (b_1 \vee e) \wedge b_3 \in L$. Then $\Delta x = (\Delta b_1 \vee \Delta e) \wedge \Delta b_3 = (b_1 \vee 0) \wedge b_3 = b_1 \wedge b_3 = b_1$, and $\nabla x = (\nabla b_1 \vee \nabla e) \wedge \nabla b_3$. Then $h(\Delta x) = h(b_1) = \Delta y$ and as $h(b_3) = h(b_1) \vee h(b_2) = \Delta y \vee \nabla y = \nabla y$ then $h(\nabla x) = h((\nabla b_1 \vee \nabla e) \wedge \nabla b_3) = (h(\nabla b_1) \vee h(\nabla e)) \wedge h(\nabla b_3) = (\Delta y \vee \nabla e') \wedge \nabla y$. As $\nabla y \leq \Delta y \vee \nabla e'$ then $h(\nabla x) = \nabla y$. Therefore $H(x) = (h(\Delta x) \vee e') \wedge h(\nabla x) = (\Delta y \vee e') \wedge \nabla y = y$. So H is a surjective map.

(III) If $H' \in \text{Epi}(L, L')$ verifies $H'(b) = h(b)$ for every $b \in B(L)$ then

$$\begin{aligned} H'(x) &= (\Delta H'(x) \vee e') \wedge \nabla H'(x) = (H'(\Delta x) \vee e') \wedge H'(\nabla x) = \\ &= (h(\Delta x) \vee e') \wedge h(\nabla x) = H(x). \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 2.1. *If L and L' are centered Lukasiewicz algebras, with centers c and c' , and $h \in \text{Epi}(B(L), B(L'))$ then the map $H : L \rightarrow L'$ defined by $H(x) = (h(\Delta x) \vee c') \wedge h(\nabla x)$ is the unique epimorphism from L to L' such that $H(b) = h(b)$ for every $b \in B(L)$.*

Proof: It suffices to note that every centered algebra is an axled algebra and $h(\nabla c) = h(1) = 1' = \nabla c'$. □

Theorem 2.2. *If L and L' are finite axled Lukasiewicz algebras, with axes e and e' respectively, then: $|\text{Epi}(L, L')| = |\text{Epi}^{(\nabla e, \nabla e')}(B(L), B(L'))|$.*

Proof: If $h \in \text{Epi}^{(\nabla e, \nabla e')}(B(L), B(L'))$, then by Theorem 2.1, the map:

$$H(x) = (h(\Delta x) \vee e') \wedge h(\nabla x)$$

verifies $H \in \text{Epi}(L, L')$. If we denote $\delta(h) = H$, then by Theorem 2.1, (III) δ is a map.

If $H \in \text{Epi}(L, L')$ then by Lemma 1.1, $h = H|_{B(L)} \in \text{Epi}^{(\nabla e, \nabla e')}(B(L), B(L'))$ and by Theorem 2.1 the extension of h to L is the epimorphism H , then $\delta(h) = H$, so δ is a surjective map.

If $h, h' \in \text{Epi}^{(\nabla e, \nabla e')}(B(L), B(L'))$ are such that $h \neq h'$ there exists $b \in B(L)$ such that $h(b) \neq h'(b)$. If H and H' are the homomorphisms extension of h and h' respectively then $H(b) = h(b) \neq h'(b) = H'(b)$, so δ is an injective map. □

Theorem 2.3. *Let L and L' finite non trivial Lukasiewicz algebras, $L \cong \mathbf{B}^j \times \mathbf{T}^k$ and $L' \cong \mathbf{B}^{j'} \times \mathbf{T}^{k'}$, where $j, k, j', k' \geq 1$. Then*

$$|\text{Epi}(L, L')| = |\text{Epi}^{(\nabla e, \nabla e')}(B(L), B(L'))| = V_{k, k'} \cdot V_{j, j'}.$$

Proof: We have proved, see Lemma 1.1 and Theorem 2.1, that:

$$H \in \text{Epi}(L, L') \text{ if and only if } h = H|_{B(L)} \in \text{Epi}^{(\nabla e, \nabla e')}(B(L), B(L'))$$

so $f = \Phi^{-1}(h) \in \text{IM}(\mathcal{A}(B(L')), \mathcal{A}(B(L)))$ verifies conditions (C2) and (C3), i.e.

$$f(\mathcal{A}(\nabla e')) \subseteq \mathcal{A}(\nabla e) \text{ and } f(\mathcal{A}(\sim \nabla e')) \subseteq \mathcal{A}(\sim \nabla e). \quad (1)$$

Since

$$|\mathcal{A}(\nabla e)| = k, \quad |\mathcal{A}(\nabla e')| = k',$$

$$|\mathcal{A}(B(L)) \setminus \mathcal{A}(\nabla e)| = j \text{ and } |\mathcal{A}(B(L')) \setminus \mathcal{A}(\nabla e')| = j'$$

then the set of all injective maps from $\mathcal{A}(B(L'))$ to $\mathcal{A}(B(L))$ verifying (1) is not empty if and only if $k \geq k'$ and $j \geq j'$. Then by the preceding results:

$$|\text{Epi}(L, L')| = |\text{Epi}^{(\nabla e, \nabla e')}(B(L), B(L'))| = V_{k, k'} \cdot V_{j, j'}. \quad (2)$$

□

If L is a Lukasiewicz algebra we denote by $\text{Aut}(L)$ the set of all automorphism of L .

Corollary 2.2. *If $L \cong B^j \times T^k$, $j, k \geq 1$, then $|\text{Aut}(L)| = k! \cdot j!$.*

Note that:

- If L and L' are finite centered algebras, i.e. $L \cong \mathbf{T}^k$ and $L' \cong \mathbf{T}^{k'}$ then:

$$|\text{Epi}(L, L')| = |\text{Epi}^{(1, 1')}(B(L), B(L'))| = |\text{Epi}(B(L), B(L'))| =$$

$$V_{|\mathcal{A}(B(L))|, |\mathcal{A}(B(L'))|} = V_{k, k'}.$$

- If L and L' are finite Boolean algebras, i.e. $L \cong \mathbf{B}^j$ and $L' \cong \mathbf{B}^{j'}$ then:

$$|\text{Epi}(L, L')| = |\text{Epi}^{(0, 0')}(B(L), B(L'))| = |\text{Epi}(B(L), B(L'))| =$$

$$V_{|\mathcal{A}(B(L))|, |\mathcal{A}(B(L'))|} = V_{j, j'}.$$

Let L be a finite non trivial Łukasiewicz algebra, $P(L)$ the set of join-irreducible elements of L and $\varphi : P(L) \rightarrow P(L)$ the Birula-Rasiowa map [4]. If L' is a finite non trivial Łukasiewicz algebra, an injective map $f : P(L') \rightarrow P(L)$ is said to be a H -function [1], if f verifies $f(\nabla p') = \nabla f(p')$, $f(\varphi(p')) = \varphi(f(p'))$. M. Abad and A. Figallo [1] have proved that there exists a bijective map from the set of all H -functions from $P(L')$ to $P(L)$ and the set $\text{Epi}(L, L')$, where L and L' are axled Łukasiewicz algebras which are neither Boolean algebras nor Post algebras. The number of elements of $\text{Epi}(L, L')$ determined by these authors coincides with the number indicated by us in (2).

Clearly is much more difficult determine H -functions, than injective functions from $\mathcal{A}(B_n)$ to $\mathcal{A}(B_m)$ verifying conditions (1).

References

- [1] ABAD M. AND FIGALLO A., On Lukasiewicz homomorphisms, Univ. Nac. de San Juan, San Juan, Argentina (1992).
- [2] ABAD M. AND MONTEIRO L., Number of epimorphisms between symmetric Boolean algebras, Rep. Math. Logic 10 (1978), 3-7.
- [3] ABAD M. AND MONTEIRO L., Monadic symmetric Boolean algebras, Notas de Lógica Matemática 37, INMABB-CONICET-UNS (1989), Bahía Blanca, Argentina, 1-30.
- [4] BIALYNICKI-BIRULA A. AND RASIOWA H., On the representation of quasi-boolean algebras, Bull Acad. Pol. Sc., classe III, 5 (1957), 259-261.
- [5] BOICESCU V., FILIPOIU A., GEORGESCU G.. AND RUDEANU S., *Lukasiewicz-Moisil algebras*, Annals of discrete mathematics 49, North-Holland, 1991.
- [6] MOISIL GR. C., Recherches sur les logiques non-chrysipiennes, Ann. Sc. de l'Université de Yassy, 26 (1940), 431-466.
- [7] MOISIL GR. C., Sur les anneaux de caractéristiques 2 ou 3 et leurs applications, Bulletin de l'École Polytechnique de Bucharest 12 (1941), 66-90.
- [8] MOISIL GR. C., Notes sur les logiques non-chrysipiennes, Ann. Sc. de l'Université de Yassy, 27 (1941), 86-98.
- [9] MOISIL GR. C., Sur les idéaux des algèbres de Lukasiewicz trivalentes, Analele Universitatii C.I. Parohn. Seria Acta Logica 3 (1960), 83-95.
- [10] MONTEIRO A., Notas del curso Algebras de Lukasiewicz trivalentes, Instituto de Matemática, Universidad Nacional del Sur, Bahía Blanca, Argentina (1963).
- [11] MONTEIRO A., Sur la définition des algèbres de Lukasiewicz trivalentes, Notas de Lógica Matemática 21 (1964). Instituto de Matemática, Universidad Nacional del Sur, Bahía Blanca, Argentina. Bull. Math. de la Soc. Sci. Math. Phys. de la R.P. Roumaine, nouvelle série 7 (55), (1963), 3-12.
- [12] MONTEIRO L., Axiomes indépendants pour les algèbres de Lukasiewicz trivalentes, Notas de Lógica Matemática 22 (1964). Instituto de Matemática, Universidad Nacional del Sur, Bahía Blanca, Argentina. Bull. Math. de la Soc. Sci. Math. Phys. de la R.P. Roumaine, nouvelle série 7 (55), (1963), 199-202.
- [13] MONTEIRO L., Sur les algèbres de Lukasiewicz injectives, Notas de Lógica Matemática 25 (1964). Instituto de Matemática, Universidad Nacional del Sur, Bahía Blanca, Argentina. Proc. Japan Acad., 41, 7 (1965), 578-581.

- [14] MONTEIRO L., Sur le principe de détermination de Moisil dans les algèbres de Lukasiewicz trivalentes, Bull, Math. de la Soc. Sci. Math. Phys. de la R.P. Roumaine, nouvelle série 13 (61), (1969), 447-448.
- [15] MONTEIRO L., *Algebras de Lukasiewicz trivalentes monádicas*, Notas de Lógica Matemática 32 (1974). Instituto de Matemática, Universidad Nacional del Sur, Bahía Blanca, Argentina.
- [16] MONTEIRO L., ABAD M., SAVINI S. AND SEWALD J., Finite generating sets, Discrete Math. 189 (1998), 177-189.
- [17] MONTEIRO L., *Algebras de Boole*, Informes Técnicos Internos 66, INMABB-CONICET-UNS (1998), versión corregida (2000-2001-2002), 201 páginas. Bahía Blanca, Argentina.
- [18] MONTEIRO L. AND KREMER A., Boolean Matrices, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie, 44 (92), 2 (2001), 105-118.
- [19] SIKORSKI R., On the inducing of homomorphisms by mappings, Fund. Math. 36 (1949), 7-22.
- [20] SIKORSKI R., *Boolean Algebras*, Springer-Verlag (1964).

Received: 08.09.2005

INMABB-CONICET and
Departamento de Matemática,
Universidad Nacional del Sur,
Bahía Blanca, Argentina
E-mail: luizmont@criba.edu.ar